COLTENE is offering a modified shade concept using “dual shades” (Duo Shades). In other words, the shades available are created to simultaneously replicate two shades of the VITA classic shade guide.

As a consequence, the number of shades in the set is reduced considerably, intending to simplify shade selection for the operator and saving on storage space. Overall, there are seven universal shades available, as well as two translucent and three opaque shades, already including three bright shades for bleached teeth.

The translucency of the universal shades has been designed to fall between dentine and enamel to allow successful restoration of anterior teeth with a single shade or layer. In principle, there is the option of customising a layer by using opaque and translucent material. Then again, creating mamelons and very translucent incisal edges tends to be the exception and therefore is a niche in high-end aesthetics, which is usually not demanded by patients.

It therefore is generally desirable to be able to restore the majority of cases with so-called universal shades, which do not require a separate layering of dentine and enamel shades.

The case at hand involves rehabilitation of the anterior maxilla and restoration of cuspid guidance with laterotrusion in the worn dentition.

The 38-year old male patient wished for aesthetic improvement to his anterior teeth in the maxilla (Fig. 1). A check of functional occlusion showed loss of cuspid guidance in laterotrusion in favour of the posterior and anterior dentition (Figs, 2 and 3). Due to attrition, the anterior teeth demonstrated significant loss of vertical dimension. Loss of OVD in the posterior region through abrasion was minimal, making it unnecessary to plan to increase OVD. Therefore, treatment could be limited to the functional and aesthetic correction of the anterior region.

The shade selected using the bespoke BRILLIANT EverGlow shade guide was directly applied to the incisal edge of one of the two central incisors without etching and bonding and then light cured. Duo Shade A3/D3 was chosen for this case. This technique for shade selection is very reliable as both hue and translucency can be assessed. Above all, it is crucial that the relevant tooth is not dried beforehand, as this leads to a change in colour of the tooth. The tooth will only return very slowly to its original colour after renewed water absorption. Furthermore, the composite must be polymerised for an adequate shade comparison, as the colour tone changes during polymerisation due to depletion of the photoinitiator and the crosslinking of the monomers changing the refractory index of the organic matrix. This means that shade and translucency can only be replicated by polymerised composite.

After shade selection the applied composite was removed with a scaler. Firstly, cuspid guidance was restored on both sides. Only minimal bevelling was generally carried out to prepare and the transition from the vestibular surfaces to incisal was designed convex using Sof-
Lex discs (Lenhard 2004). After selective etching of the enamel for 30s, ONE COAT 7 UNIVERSAL was applied (Figs. 4 and 5). The cusps were each restored with only one layer of BRILLIANT EverGlow A3/D3 (Fig. 6). This design has no effect on occlusion, but leads to cuspid guidance at laterotrusion.

To restore cuspid guidance it is usually sufficient to just restore the abrasion facets on the upper cusps (Figs. 7 and 8). However, should interference with the anterior mandible occur again after build-up of the incisors at laterotrusion, then the abrasion facets of the lower cusps need to be corrected as well.

The technically simple correction of the cusps is, as a rule, performed with a relatively dry field, so that cuspid guidance can be checked immediately. A completely dry field with use of rubber dam is recommended for the build-up of the incisors, as this facilitates and accelerates implementation of the build-ups (Fig. 9).

To assess the incisal line for corrections of the maxillary anterior, the entire anterior from cuspid to cuspid needs to be isolated as a matter of principle. The rubber dam is affixed to the first premolars, whereby the dam can simply be placed over the clamps (Fig. 10). Following etching and application of adhesive, the anterior teeth were built up stepwise with Duo Shade A3/D3 (Figs. 11-15). Whereas certain overall layer thicknesses need to be taken into account in terms of aesthetic success when layering with opaque, dentine, enamel and translucent materials, the single shade approach allows to cover the entire restoration with one single universal shade. This simplifies the process considerably. To avoid palatal excess, the finger can be used as a “matrix”. However,
one should note that dental monomers can also penetrate latex and nitrile gloves and possess a certain allergenic potential. Penetration of the monomers through the gloves is, however, not so immediate that it is sufficient to change the glove after build-up of the palatal area (Aalto-Korte et al. 2007, Goon et al 2006, Nakamura et al. 2003, Lonnroth et al. 2003) (Fig. 12).

After gross finishing, the rubber dam was removed and the final shape of the teeth (length and angle characteristic) determined with grinding discs and finishing strips. This was followed by polishing with DIATECH Shape-Guard polishers (Fig. 16). Finally, laterotrusion was checked again (Fig. 17). However, restoration of the abrasion facets of the lower cuspids could prove useful to provide additional protection to the anterior tooth restorations in the maxilla.

Figure 18 shows the immediate postoperative state. One can clearly see the transition of the teeth to the composite build-ups. The restorations appear too translucent and too dark. This postoperative appearance is still desirable by all means. The hard tooth substance dries during treatment, the teeth thus become significantly more opaque and brighter. Renewed water absorption occurs postoperatively through diffusion with saliva and drains relatively slowly. Even with permanent wetting with saliva or water, it takes about one hour until the original colour of the teeth is restored. This means that aesthetically successful restorations must always appear too translucent and too dark in the immediate postoperative situation.

One week later the patient presented again for a follow-up and for assessment of the aesthetic integration of the restorations (Figs. 19 and 20). The restorations
demonstrated excellent colour matching and the transitions from hard tooth substance to restoration were hardly noticeable, even under magnification.

In conclusion, it can be said that the case presented here with BRILLIANT EverGlow allowed an extremely user-friendly, fast and very good aesthetic rehabilitation of the maxillary anterior by only using a single universal shade. Elaborate layering with opaque and translucent materials can thus presumably be limited to a minority of cases which present with pronounced incisor characteristics.

CONTACT
Dr. Markus Lenhard
Bahnhofstrasse 29
8259 Etzwilen/Switzerland
markus.lenhard@bluewin.ch

Literature


